Treating Higher Risk MDS

Bart Scott, M.D. Assistant Member, FHCRC Assistant Professor, UWMC





Questions to be Addressed

- When should stem cell transplantation be considered?
- · Is induction chemotherapy necessary?
- What non-transplant therapies are available?

When should allogeneic stem cell transplant be considered?

















HCT Outcomes in Secondary MDS

Characteristics						
	Secondary MDS/tAML	De novo MDS/tAML		Secondary MDSAAML, no. (%)	De novo MDS/IAML, no. (%)	
No. of patients	257	339	n	257	339	
Malafamala	124/122	200/120	Donor type Related			
varentennare	13%/123	200/139	HLA-genotypically identical sibling	108 (42)	145 (43)	
Age range, y (median)	3.1-72.7 (41.2)	1.1-69 (47.3)	HLA-nonidentical family member*	25 (10)	20 (6)	
Disease category * no. natients (%)			Syngeneic twin	2 (1)	4 (1)	
process concept from parents (10)			Unrelated			
RA	82 (32)	90 (27)	HLA-identical	98 (38)	98 (29)	
BARS	5 (2)	6 (2)	HLA-nonidentical*	24 (9)	72 (21)	
0010		(L)	Source of stem cells	150 (58)	177 (52)	
HCMD	-	11 (3)	PBPCs	102 (40)	162 (48)	
MDS-U	-	1 (0.3)	Cord blood	5 (2)	-	
En condesmo		4/0	Transplant conditioning regimen			
og synaionie		4(1)	BUCYABUCY	29 (11) 93 (36)	13 (4)/172 (51	
RAEB-1/-2	57 (22)	42 (12)/43 (13)	High-dose TBI	83 (32)	122 (36)	
tAMI /tAMI, resp	80 (31)/23(9)	84 (25)/37 (11)	FURBO ENTEN (200)	12 (5)	22 (6)	
		(Miscellaneous chemotherapy regimenst	14 (5)	10 (2)	
CMML-1/-2	10 (4)	10 (3)/11 (3)	Interval from diagnosis to HCT, mo			
Cytogenetic risk group, † no. patients (%)			O 10 6	148 (58)	138 (41)	
Dead	70./275	100 (10)	7 to 12	60 (23)	95 (28)	
	is (ci)	100 (42)	13 10 24	29 (11)	58 (17)	
Intermediate	46 (18)	53 (15)	Year of transplantation	80 (56)	-36 (14)	
Pror	123 (49)	104 (31)	2000 to 2006	116 (45)	147 (43)	
	1449		1990 to 1999	121 (47)	192 (57)	
Unknown	18 (6)	16 (5)	1960 to 1989	20 (8)	0	







Is Induction Chemotherapy Necessary?





	Induction C	nemotherapy
Characteristic	Yes	No
No. of patients	33	92
Age, range (median), y	2-64 (45)	3-66 (5)
Gender, M/F, no of patients	17/16	59/33
Etiology, no. of patients (%)		
De novo	28 (84)	60 (65)
Secondary	5 15)	32 (35)
Disease duration, range (median), mo	1-43 (6)	1-62 (6)
FAB stage, no. of patients (%)		
RAEB	3 (9)	62 (67)
RAEB-T	6 (18)	22 (24)
tAML	24 (73)	8 (9)
IPSS risk group, no. of patients (%)		
Low	0	1 (1)
Intermediate-1	10 (30)	20 (22)
Intermediate-2	8 (24)	37 (40)
High	15 (45)	33 (36)
Not scored‡	0	1‡
Donor, no. of patients (%)		
HLA-identical sibling	16 (48)	46 (50)
Alternative related donor§	0	3 (4)
HLA-identical unrelated	17 (52)	43 (46)
Source of Stem Cells, no of patients (%)		
Peripheral Blood	18 (55)	27 (29)
Bone Marrow	15 (45)	65 (71)
Conditioning Regimen (%)		
	21 (64)	55 (60)
tBuCy	21 (04)	











What non-transplant therapies are available?





















	CCR Regimens N=179			179	
	VIDAZA® N=179	CCR N=179	BSC, Only N=105	LDAC N=49	7+3 Chemo N=25
Age Median (yrs) ≥65 (%)	69 68.1	70 76.0	70 77.1	71 85.7	65 52.0
FAB (%) RAEB RAEB-T CMMoL	58.1 34.1 3.4	57.5 34.6 2.8	64.8 28.6 3.8	51.0 38.8 2.0	40.0 52.0 0
IPSS (%) Int-1 Int-2 High	2.8 42.5 45.8	7.3 39.1 47.5	8.6 43.8 43.8	4.1 42.9 42.9	8.0 12.0 72.0
WHO (%) RAEB-1 RAEB-2 CMMoL-1 CMMoL-2 AMI	7.8 54.7 0.6 5.6 30.7	9.5 53.1 0 2.8 32.4	12.4 57.1 0 2.9 25.7	6.1 49.0 0 40.8	4.0 44.0 0 8.0 44.0











Patient characteristics					
	Supportive care N=114	Decitabine N=119			
Age median (range)	70 (60-86) y	69 (60-90) y			
<u>></u> 75 yrs	30%	28%			
Male/female	64% / 36%	64% / 36%			
ECOG PS 0-1	85%	88%			
2	15%	12%			
FAB RA/RARS	9%	7%			
RAEB	56%	51%			
RAEB-t	31%	34%			
CMML	4%	8%			

Reason for going on-protocor						
	Supportive care N=114 (100%)	Decitabine N=119 (100%)				
Normal completion	19 (16.7%)	31 (26.1%)				
Progression of disease	55 (48.2%)	40 (33.6%)				
Toxicity	NA	19 (16.0%)				
Prolonged cytopenia	NA	5 (4.2%)				
Death	17 (14.9%)	11 (9.2%)				
Refusal	14 (12.3%)	6 (5.0%)				
Protocol violations	5 (4.4%)	3 (2.5%)				
Ineligible	1 (0.9%)	1 (0.8%)				
Other	3 (2.6%)	3 (2.5%)				











	Combination of HDACi and DNMTi						
Author	Garcia-Manero [Blood 2006]	Soriano [Blood 2007]	Masiak [Leukemia 2006]	Blum [JCO 2007]	Gore [Cancer Res 2006]	Kuendgen [ASH 2008]	Silverman [ASH 2008]
Schedule	DAC 15 mg/m ² day 1-10 + VPA 20, 35, or 50 mg/kg day 1-10	AZA 75 mg/m ² day 1-7 + VPA 50, 62,5 or 75 mg/kg day 1-7 + ATRA 45mg/m ² day 3-7	AZA 75 mg/m ² day 1-7 + PB 200 mg/kg for 5 days after AZA	DAC 20 mg/m ² day 1-10 + VPA escalating doses (d 5-21) 15, 20 or 25 mg/kg	AZA 50 mg/m ² day 1-14, 1-10 or 1-5 75 mg/m ² d1-5, 25 mg/m ² d1-5, 25 mg/m ² d1-5 4 + PB 375 mg/kg for 7 days after AZA	VPA (serum conc. 70-110µg/ml) + AZA 100 mg/m ² d1-5	AZA 55 or 75 mg/m ² d1-7 + SAHA 200 mg bid x 7d or 200 mg tid x 14d or 300 mg bid x 7d or 300 mg bid x 3d
Patient number and diagnosis	AML (n=48), MDS (n=6)	AML (n=49), MDS (n=4)	AML (n=8), MDS (n=2)	AML (n=11)	AML (n=18), MDS (n=13) CMML (n=1)	AML (n=16), MDS (n=5) CMML (n=3)	AML, MDS (n=18)
Response	10 CR (19%) 2 CRp (3%)	12 CR (22%) 3 CRp (5%) 7 BM resp.	3 PR (30%)	2 CR (18%) 2 CRi (18%) 2 PR (18%)	4 CR (14%) 1 PR (13%) 6 HI (21%)	1 CR (4%) 1 Cri (4%) 5 PR (20%) 1 HL (4%)	9 CR (50%) 2 CRi (11%) 4 HI (22%)
		(1376)					

· Combination of HDACi and DNMTi may achieve faster responses in some patients

More myelosuppression, esp. during the initial cycles

Combination therapy does not obviate the need for prolonged treatment



- Clofarabine is a second-generation, rationally designed purine analog
 - − Clofarabine has demonstrated high response rates
 (ORR: 46%; CR: 38%) as first-line therapy for patients ≥
 60 year with AML
 - Also effective for patients > 70 years, with poor-risk cytogenetics, and poor performance status
 - This study evaluated clofarabine in patients with \ge 5% blasts or IPSS \ge INT-1:
 - IV: 15 mg/m² vs. 30 mg/m²/day x 5 days q 4-6 weeks
 - PO: 40 mg/m²/day x 5 days, reduced to 30 mg/m²
 - after the first 6 patients

Erba et al. ASH 2008, Abstract 558 Faderl et al. ASH 2008, Abstract 222

MDS: Results							
Response PO (n = 24) IV-15 (n = 20) IV-30 (n = 16)							
Overall Response	12 (48%)	10 (50%)	6 (33%)				
Complete response	7 (28%)	7 (35%)	4 (25%)				
Hematologic improvement	2 (8%)	3 (15%)	2 (13%)				
Clinical Benefit	3 (12%)	0	0				
ORR for Patients Failing Hypomethylating Therapy	5/15 (33%)	2/8 (26%)	1/9 (11%)				
Grade ≥ 3 Adverse Events	PO (n = 25)	IV-15 (n = 20)	IV-30 (n = 16)				
Edema	0	5%	25%				
Increased ALT/AST	24%/16%	0/0	13%/6%				
Hyperbilirubinemia	12%	5%	13%				
Acute Renal Failure	8%	10%	19%				
6-Week Mortality	0	2 (10%)	2 (13%)				

Phase I Trials to Watch: Combination Therapy					
Efficacy	Lenalidomide/Azacitidine (n = 18)	Azacitidine/Valproic Acid (n = 24)			
ORR	13 (72%)	8 (33%)			
CR	7 (39%)	1			
CRi	NR	1			
Marrow CR	2 (11%)	3			
PR	1 (6%)	5			
н	3 (17%)	1			
Grade 3/4 Adverse Events	•Febrile neutropenia n = 5 •CNS hemorrhage n = 2 •Cardiac n = 2	•Myelosuppression •Transient CNS effects Sekeres et al. JCO 2010;28:2			







U.S. treatment approaches to MDS Overall proportion of recently diagnosed patients (n = 670) and range of established patients across six surveys (n = 3844) taking specific types of therapies at the time of the survey ESA (darbepoetin and/or erthropoietin 58% 55-63% 16% 11-15% Azacitidine (Vidaza) 10% 8-11% G-CSF, GM-CSF or Only 4% of recently dx or established patients peg-filgrastim were considered for transplant 8% enalinomide (Revlimid) 1-9% Only 1% of recently dx or established patients were enrolled into clinical trials 2% 0-4% Decitabine (Dacogen) Recently diagnosed patients (proportion) 1% 2-5% Thalidomide Established patients (range across 6 surveys) 30% 40% 50% 0% 10% 20% 60% 70% Proportion of patients, % Sekeres, et al. J National Cancer Inst. 2008;100:1542



